	<p style="text-align: center;">Performance and Finance Select Committee November 2008</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Report from the Director of Policy and Regeneration</p>
For information	Wards Affected: ALL
<p style="text-align: center;">Best Value Performance Plan 2008/2009</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(PRU – 08/09 - 04)</i></p>	

1.0 Summary

- 1.1 This report is for information only. Each year the council is required to publish end of year performance against the national set of Best Value performance indicators by end of June each year. The data within the plan is subject to an annual independent verification process by the Audit Commission.

2.0 Recommendations

that the Executive:

- 2.1 notes the Best Value Performance Plan.

3.0 Detail

This year in the Performance Plan:

- 3.1 The focus of the report has changed to reflect the new Corporate Strategy themes. Each indicator has been positioned to relate to the most relevant theme within the Corporate Strategy (still shows under themes service area responsible for delivery).
- 3.2 The plan has been produced in-line with the CPA guidelines and as such provides data only.
- 3.3 All of the figures you will see are actual.
- 3.4 This is the final document and a copy of plan will be available on the Policy and Regeneration service unit web page from 1st September 2008.

3.5 Due to the introduction of the new set of 198 National Indicators, some current indicators are being discontinued and do not have a future target set.

3.6 Direction of travel breakdown:




THIS YEAR Total reportable 169		Percentage*
IMPROVED	73	43%
SAME	24	14%
GONE DOWN	41	24%
N/A (where no DOT possible)	31	18%

*Please note that percentages have been rounded to the nearest whole number






3.7 Key:

The performance plan shows the following for each indicator:

- Previous and current annual performance
- Current year's target
- Did we achieve the target?

	Low risk' performance indicators – this means the annual targets are either being met or exceeded
	'Medium risk' performance indicators - this means annual performance is not being met but is within 10-15% of the target
	High risk' performance indicators - this means annual targets are not being met and are not within 10-15% of the target

- Direction of travel

	Arrow signifies that performance has gone up and that this is the right direction it should be going.		Performance falling where it should be falling (as smaller is better)
	Signifies that performance has gone up and that this is the wrong direction		Performance falling where it should be rising (as bigger is better)
	No change		

4.0 Financial Implications

4.1 There are no direct financial implications arising.

5.0 Legal Implications

5.1 There are no direct legal implications arising.

6.0 Diversity Implications

6.1 There are no direct diversity implications arising.

7.0 Staffing Implications

7.1 There are no direct staffing implications arising.

Background Information

Cathy Tyson (Assistant Director, Policy and Regeneration) Brent Town Hall, Forty Lane, Wembley Middlesex, HA9 9HD 0208 937 1030

Director of Policy and Regeneration
Phil Newby